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1.0 INTRODUCTION

Luminant Generation Company, LLC (Luminant) operates the Martin Lake Steam Electric Station (MLSES) located approximately five miles southwest of Tatum in Rusk County, Texas. The power plant and related support areas occupy approximately 700 acres on a peninsula on the southwest side of Martin Lake (Figure 1). The MLSES consists of three coal/lignite-fired units with a combined operating capacity of approximately 2,250 megawatts. Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) including fly ash, bottom ash, gypsum are generated as part of MLSES unit operation. The CCRs are transported off-site for beneficial use by third-parties, are managed by Luminant on-site at Permanent Disposal Pond No. 5 (PDP-5) or are disposed at Luminant’s A-1 Area Landfill. The A-1 Area Landfill is located approximately 2.5 miles southeast of the MLSES power plant within a reclaimed section of the Luminant Beckville Mine in Panola County (Figure 2).

The CCR Rule (40 CFR 257 Subpart D - Standards for the Receipt of Coal Combustion Residuals in Landfills and Surface Impoundments) has been promulgated by EPA to regulate the management and disposal of CCRs as solid waste under Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Subtitle D. The final CCR Rule was published in the Federal Register on April 17, 2015. The effective date of the CCR Rule was October 19, 2015.

The CCR Rule establishes national operating criteria for existing CCR surface impoundments and landfills, including development of a run-on and run-off control system plan (RRCSP) for all CCR landfills. Pastor, Behling & Wheeler, LLC (PBW) was retained by Luminant to develop this run-on and run-off control system plan for the A-1 Area Landfill.

1.1 CCR Landfill Run-on and Run-off Control System Plan Requirements

Section 257.81(c) of the CCR Rule specifies that a written run-on and run-off control system plan be prepared for each existing CCR landfill that describes the systems that have been designed and constructed to control run-on to and run-off from the landfill consistent with the requirements of the CCR Rule and recognized and generally accepted good engineering practices. The RRCSP must include, at a minimum, design, construction, operation, and maintenance information for the following:

- A run-on control system to prevent flow onto the active portion of the CCR unit during the peak discharge from a 24-hour, 25-year storm; and
- A run-off control system from the active portion of the CCR unit to collect and control at least the
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water volume resulting from a 24-hour, 25-year storm. Run-off from the active portion of the CCR unit must be managed in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR 257.3–3 (prohibition against pollution of waters of the United States).

The RRCSP must be must be supported by appropriate engineering calculations and must be certified by a qualified professional engineer. The RRCSP must document how the run-on and run-off control system has been designed and constructed to comply with the requirements of section 257.81 of the CCR Rule.

In accordance with 40 CFR 257.81(c)(3) of the CCR Rule, the initial RRCSP for an existing CCR landfill must be completed and placed in the facility operating record no later than October 17, 2016. Periodic RRCSPs must be completed every five years from the completion date of the initial plan. In addition, the RRCSP must be amended whenever there is a change in conditions that would substantially affect the plan.

1.2 MLSES Units Subject to Run-on and Run-off Control System Plan Requirements

The CCR Rule defines coal combustion residuals such as fly ash, bottom ash, boiler slag, flue gas desulfurization (FGD) materials (gypsum), and related solids generated from burning coal for the purpose of generating electricity by electric utilities and independent power producers. The RRCSP requirements of the CCR Rule apply to existing and new CCR landfills that dispose or otherwise engage in solid waste management of CCR.

The only CCR Unit at MLSES that meets the definition of a CCR Landfill is the A-1 Area Landfill, which is located within a reclaimed section of the Luminant Beckville Mine. The registered boundary of the landfill covers an area of approximately 986 acres, and the A-1 Area Landfill is surrounded by and underlain by spoil material that was previously excavated during lignite mining operations. The A-1 Area Landfill is registered under the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality under SWR31277 (WMU002) and began receiving CCR in 1980.

This RRCSP was prepared for A-1 Area Landfill, and in accordance with 40 CFR 257.81(c)(3) of the CCR Rule, the RRCSP must be amended when future lateral expansion of the landfill occurs.

1.3 Description of A-1 Area Landfill

The A-1 Area Landfill is located approximately 2.5 miles southeast of the MLSES (Figure 2). An existing a site plan is shown on Figure 3. The registered boundary of the A-1 Area Landfill covers an
area of approximately 986 acres and is located entirely within the reclaimed section of the Luminant Beckville Mine. The A-1 Area Landfill is registered with the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality under SWR31277 (WMU 002) and began receiving CCR in 1980. Dewatered CCR is transported from MLSES to the A-1 Area Landfill by railcar for disposal. The landfill is surrounded by earthen embankments constructed of mine spoil, and prior to placement of CCRs, a 1-foot thick compacted clay bottom liner is constructed using suitable mine spoil. Construction of the bottom liner consists of scarifying and re-compacting suitable clay mine spoil to achieve the design specification of 95 percent of maximum density and an in-place permeability of $1 \times 10^{-7}$ cm/sec or less. The compacted clay liner is underlain by mine spoil (predominantly clay soils) that vary in thickness from 70-100 feet. Specifications for the construction of the perimeter embankments include placement of a 3-foot thick compacted clay liner on the interior slope of the embankment. The interior embankment slopes are specified not to exceed a 3:1 (horizontal:vertical) sideslope, and the exterior sideslopes are specified not to exceed a 5:1 slope. Approximately 450-acres of the A-1 Area landfill has been capped by placement of a 3-foot thick compacted clay cap with a minimum 2-foot thick vegetative cover layer. Progressive capping/closure of the A-1 Area Landfill is performed as placement of CCR reaches the target cap subgrade elevations.
2.0 RUN-ON AND RUN-OFF CONTROL SYSTEM PLAN

The RRCSP for A-1 Area Landfill is described in this section. In accordance with 257.81(c) of the CCR Rule, the RRCSP addresses run-on and run-off control for the active portion of the landfill. Run-on and run-off control systems for the capped portion of A-1 Area Landfill are described in the Closure and Post-Closure Plans for the landfill.

2.1 Design Storm Conditions

In accordance with Sections 257.81(a)(1) and 257.81(a)(2) of the CCR Rule, the run-on and run-off control systems for the landfill must be designed to prevent run-on into the landfill and run-off from active portions of the landfill during the peak discharge from a 24-hour, 25-year storm. US Department of Commerce has created Technical Paper No. 40: Rainfall Frequency Atlas of the United States (Hershfield, 1961). Technical Paper No. 40: Rainfall Frequency Atlas (TP-40) can be used to estimate design storm precipitation totals, including the 25-year, 24-hour storm. Based on the TP-40, the 25-year, 24-hour storm for Panola County is 8.6 inches of rainfall. A copy of the TP-40 spreadsheet is shown in Appendix A.

2.2 Run-on Control System

The CCR Rule defines run-on as “any rainwater, leachate, or other liquid that drains overland onto any part of a CCR landfill or lateral expansion of a CCR landfill.” The run-on control system for active portion A-1 Area Landfill (i.e. A-1 Expansion Area) is described below.

2.2.1 Design and Construction

The A-1 Area Landfill has been constructed to limit contact between storm water run-off from areas outside the landfill and CCR placed in the landfill. A significant portion of the landfill is surrounded by exterior earthen dikes that extend 10 to 15 feet or more above the surrounding grade. As shown on Figure 4, storm water run-off generated from areas outside the landfill is diverted away from the landfill by the dikes and associated drainage ditches, swales and other surface features. Given the size of the registered landfill boundary portions of the active disposal area utilize other interim run-on controls as placement of CCR progresses. As shown on Figure 4, these interim run-on controls include raised perimeter roadbeds and associated safety berms, an existing stockpile of suitable clay cap material (Estimated 1.75 million cubic yards) and drainage diversions. In accordance with Section 257.81(a)(1) of the CCR Rule, the
height of the exterior landfill dikes as well as other interim controls relative to the surrounding
topography indicate that run-off from a 25-year 24-hour storm will be diverted around the landfill and not
flow onto any part of the landfill actively receiving CCR.

The landfill dikes and storm water drainage features are also designed and constructed to minimize soil
erosion. The exterior of the existing dikes, post-reclamation drainage features and other permanent
earthen structures are permanently vegetated to control erosion. Consistent with on-going mine
reclamation, additional erosion protection (channel linings, rip rap, etc.) are used for maintenance of
interim controls as well as at locations with higher storm water flow velocities and increased erosion
potential.

2.2.2 Operation and Maintenance

Storm water drainage features along the exterior of A-1 Area Landfill will be operated and maintained to
ensure that proper storm water run-on control is maintained throughout the life of the landfill. Storm
water run-on operation and maintenance activities implemented at the landfill include:

- The landfill is visually inspected on a weekly basis by a qualified person in accordance 40 CFR
  257.83(a) and annual by a professional engineer in accordance with 40 CFR 257.83 (b). The
  weekly and annual inspections address the exterior dikes around the landfill and storm water run-
  on control features along the dikes and include:
    - Verification that storm water generated from areas outside the CCR placement areas is
diverted around the landfill by the dikes/associated drainage ditches, swales and other
  drainage features.
    - Verification that the drainage ditches, swales and other drainage features do not contain
  significant accumulated sediments or other flow obstructions;
    - Identification of areas of dike or drainage feature erosion/scouring that require repair.

- Accumulated sediment/debris are removed, as required, from the drainage features to maintain
  adequate storm water drainage. Areas of erosion/scour are repaired through backfilling,
grading/reshaping, seeding and related activities. Drainage features may be
  redesigned/reconfigured if erosion/scouring are observed repeatedly in certain areas.

- Each inspection and maintenance activity is documented in a written inspection and maintenance
  record. Documentation includes the date, time, name of the individual performing the
  inspection/maintenance, a description of the problems observed (if any), maintenance/repairs
  performed (if any), and related information. The inspection and maintenance records are
  maintained in the facility operating record to provide an inspection and maintenance history for
  the landfill.
2.3 Run-off Control System

The CCR Rule defines run-off as “any rainwater, leachate, or other liquid that drains overland from any part of a CCR landfill or lateral expansion of a CCR landfill.” For the purposes of this RRCSP, this run-off is also referred to as being generated from either active, capped areas, or previously reclaimed areas. The run-off control system for A-1 Area Landfill is described below.

2.3.1 Design and Construction

A-1 Area Landfill has been constructed to contain precipitation that falls directly on the active portions of the landfill. The majority of the landfill is surrounded by earthen dikes that extend 10 to 15 feet or more above the surrounding grade, so precipitation that falls within the active areas of the landfill is contained and managed within run-off collection areas. Similar to the run-on controls, interim controls are in-place within the active disposal area to divert run-off to collection areas present at the downgradient edge of the active disposal areas (Figure 4). Accumulated run-off is either applied to the CCR to control dust, allowed to evaporate (seasonally dependent), or pumped to two treatment ponds (located within the registered boundary) prior to discharge to the Beckville Mine drainage control system, which is ultimately monitored in accordance with an existing TCEQ permit authorization.

Luminant has developed a preliminary plan for sequential placement of CCR within the A-1 Area Landfill. Within the next five years Luminant currently anticipates that the North Run-Off Collection Area (NROCA) will be capped or reclaimed as placement of the final cover system progresses. The South Run-off Collection Area (SROCA), the Former South Run-off Collection Area (FSROCA), the South Treatment Pond (STP), and the North Treatment Pond (NTP) will remain in-service while active placement of CCR is occurring within the landfill. With exception of the NROCA and NTP, Luminant currently anticipates that these areas will be reclaimed. The NTP and NROCA will be dewatered and accumulated sediment will be stabilized prior to placement of the final cover system in this area.

Consistent with the on-going progressive closure activities, Luminant anticipates that construction of the final CCR cover system will continue in phases over several years. While a portion of the landfill is active, all precipitation that comes into contact with exposed CCR will be contained and managed within run-off collection areas. Once a portion of the landfill has been capped (either with a permanent cap or with a temporary soil cover), precipitation that falls on the capped area will be diverted away from the active areas of the landfill and/or the run-off collection areas. This storm water run-off will flow off of
the capped portions of the landfill and be diverted away from the landfill by the drainage ditches, swales and other surface features along the perimeter of the landfill.

Run-off Volume Calculation Procedures

In accordance with Section 257.81(a)(2) of the CCR Rule, the A-1 Area Landfill has been constructed to contain the estimated volume of run-off generated from a 25-year, 24-hour storm. Run-off volume estimates were generated for the following landfill operating scenarios:

- **Scenario 1: Phase I Progressive Closure Plan (Existing Conditions).** Under this scenario, the current configuration of the landfill is evaluated. In this scenario the NROCA, FSROCA, and SROCA each provide run-off storage for the active disposal area. A significant portion of the drainage area managed by the NROCA consists of recently capped areas where establishment of vegetative cover is underway. As uniform vegetative cover is established run-off from these areas will be diverted off the cap to the perimeter drainage system, thereby progressively reducing the volume of run-off to the NROCA. Surface cover within the drainage areas of the SROCA and the FSROCA consist of exposed CCR or partially reclaimed mine spoil (i.e. future active disposal areas).

- **Scenario 2: Phase II Progressive Closure Plan (NROCA Closure/Reclaim).** Under this scenario, the NROCA will be reclaimed/capped. Hence, this scenario assumes the SROCA and FSROCA provide drainage control for the active areas of the landfill. The total volume of water stored also takes into consideration precipitation on the surface area of each pond.

Run-off volumes were estimated using the Curve Number (CN) method as described in the USDA publication *TR-55 - Urban Hydrology for Small Water Sheds* (USDA-NRCS, 1986). A key component of the TR-55 procedure is identifying the appropriate CN used in the evaluations. As a point of reference, a CN of 100 means 100 percent of the rainfall on an area runs off and none of the precipitation is retained by the exposed material through absorption, evaporation, localized ponding, infiltration and similar processes. Published CNs vary depending on material type, degree of saturation and other variables, ranging from 98 for impervious surfaces (concrete/asphalt pavement, etc.) to 50 or less for vegetated, well drained soils (USDA-NRCS, 1986).

The fly ash/bottom ash and gypsum placed within the A-1 Area Landfill is absorptive and is placed as a dry material. As a result, the exposed fly ash/bottom ash and gypsum will absorb/retain a measurable volume of the precipitation that falls on the active landfill cells. The Hydrologic Evaluation of Landfill Performance (HELP) model was used to estimate a CN for the exposed CCR in the cells (Schroeder, et. al, 1994). HELP model results for the exposed CCR are shown in Appendix B. Based on the HELP model results, a CN of 94 was assumed for estimating run-off volumes generated from active areas (i.e. exposed fly ash/bottom ash). The HELP model was also used to estimate a CN of 66 for the final cover system (Appendix B). A curve number of 72 was assumed for portions of the landfill that are in a
partially reclaimed condition, which generally consists of mine spoil that is graded to drain and partially revegetated.

For each run-off scenario, the surface area of watersheds contributing to each of the collection areas were used to calculate run-off volumes. The watershed delineation for each scenario, which illustrates the surface area contributing to each run-off collection area, are reproduced in Appendices C and D. The calculated surface areas of the landfill cells were as follows:

- **Scenario – 1**
  - NROCA: 5,140,080 square feet (sf) or approximately 118 acres
  - SROCA: 8,799,120 sf or approximately 202 acres
  - FSROCA: 44,431,220 sf or approximately 102 acres

- **Scenario – 2**
  - SROCA: 8,799,120 sf or approximately 202 acres
  - FSROCA: 44,431,220 sf or approximately 102 acres

**Run-off Containment Capacities**

Run-off containment capacities for each of the collection areas were calculated using the terrain modelling capabilities of AutoCad Civil 3D. Outputs from the Civil 3D models showing the containment capacities of each run-off collection area are reproduced in Appendix E. The estimated containment capacities of each run-off collection area are based on the following assumptions:

- Luminant will continue to minimize storage of run-off in each area between precipitation events to ensure normal static pool elevations provided by Luminant are maintained;
- Existing topography surrounding each run-off collection area will not be modified in a manner that would reduce the currently available storage volume and the ponds; and
- Periodic removal of accumulated sediment/CCR will be performed.
Run-off Evaluation

Run-off volume estimates for each of the landfill operating scenarios described above are shown in Appendix F. The estimated volumes of run-off were compared to the containment capacities for the run-off collection areas shown in Appendix E to confirm that adequate run-off containment is provided for each scenario for the 25-year, 24-hour storm.

The results of the run-off evaluation can be summarized as follows:

- **Scenario 1: Phase I Progressive Closure Plan (Existing Conditions).**
  Under this scenario, three currently active run-off collection areas (NROCA, FSROCA and SROCA) provide containment for active CCR disposal areas. The following estimated run-off volumes would be generated and conveyed to the respective collection areas under this scenario:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection Area</th>
<th>Run off Volume</th>
<th>Containment Volume</th>
<th>Percent Containment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NROCA</td>
<td>3,092,000 cubic feet (cf)</td>
<td>3,218,000 cf</td>
<td>105%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SROCA</td>
<td>6,399,000 cf</td>
<td>14,151,000 cf</td>
<td>221%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSROCA</td>
<td>2,497,000 cf</td>
<td>3,256,000 cf</td>
<td>130%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adequate storage for run-off for the 25-year, 24-hour storm is provided by each collection area under this scenario.

Although the NROCA provides adequate containment of the design storm event, Luminant also maintains a dedicated pumping system with the capacity to transfer approximately 577,000 cubic feet per day of run-off from this area to other storage areas (i.e. STP). As previously noted, the progressive establishment of uniform vegetative cover is on-going for capped portion of the NROCA watershed, and run-off will continue to be diverted off the capped areas to the perimeter drainage system of the landfill, as surface conditions of the capped areas permit. This progressive capping process will result in increasing amounts of run-off being diverted off the landfill prior to reaching the NROCA.

- **Scenario 2: Phase II Progressive Closure Plan (NROCA Closure/Reclaim).**
  Under this scenario, the NROCA will have been reclaimed or capped and will no longer receive run-off. Based on the assumed five year projections for installation of the final cover system on currently active portions of the landfill, the containment capacity for run-off generated from active portions of the landfill will be provided by the FSROCA and the SROCA. The following estimated run-off volumes would be generated and conveyed to the respective collection areas under this scenario:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection Area</th>
<th>Run off Volume</th>
<th>Containment Volume</th>
<th>Percent Containment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SROCA</td>
<td>6,354,000 cf</td>
<td>14,151,000 cf</td>
<td>223%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSROCA</td>
<td>2,497,000 cf</td>
<td>3,256,000 cf</td>
<td>130%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Future progressive installation of the final cover system of the landfill will result in smaller run-off volumes being conveyed to the SROCA over time; hence, Scenario 2 provides a conservative evaluation of drainage control requirements for the FSROCA and the SROCA.

Adequate storage for run-off from the 25-year, 24-hour storm is provided by each collection area under this scenario.

2.3.2 Operation and Maintenance

The run-off containment features of A-1 Area Landfill will be operated and maintained to ensure that proper run-off control for active areas are maintained throughout the life of the landfill. Run-off operation and maintenance activities implemented at the landfill include:

- Run off generated from active areas of the landfill is stored in collection areas for the shortest time practicable. Stored run-off is applied to the CCR to control dust and/or is allowed to evaporate. Run-off discharged from collection areas within the landfill will continue to be monitored in accordance with the existing TCEQ TPDES permit for the Beckville Mine or similar authorization.

- The landfill will continue to be visually inspected on a weekly basis by a qualified person in accordance 40 CFR 257.83(a) and annually by a professional engineer in accordance with 40 CFR 257.83(b). The weekly and annual inspections address the interior and exterior dikes at the landfill and include:
  - Verification that run-off is being contained in the landfill and a minimum of 2 feet of freeboard is maintained along the exterior dikes in areas where run-off is temporarily stored.

- Each inspection and maintenance activity is documented in a written inspection and maintenance record. Documentation includes the date, time, name of the individual performing the inspection/maintenance, a description of the problems observed (if any), maintenance/repairs performed (if any), and related information. The inspection and maintenance records are maintained in the facility operating record to provide an inspection and maintenance history for the landfill.

2.4 Updates to Run-on and Run-off Control System Plan

In accordance with 257.81(c)(3) of the CCR Rule, this initial RRCSP must be placed in the A-1 Area Landfill facility operating record no later than October 17, 2016. Subsequent periodic RRCSPs must be completed every five years from the completion date of this initial plan. In addition, the RRCSP must be amended whenever there is a change in conditions that would substantially affect the plan.
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MARTIN LAKE STEAM ELECTRIC STATION
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SOURCE:
Imagery from Google Earth, photography dated October 1, 2015.
EXPLANATION
- Landfill Registration Boundary
- Existing Grade Contour 5 ft Interval
- Existing Grade Contour 25 ft Interval
- Capped Area (Existing)
- Active CCR Disposal/Disturbed Area
- Existing Compacted Clay Liner
- Run-off Collection Area

Notes:
1. Extent of Capped Areas based on October 2016 site conditions.
2. Run-Off Collection Areas and Treatment Ponds are lined with compacted clay.
EXPLANATION

- Landfill Registration Boundary
- Existing Grade Contour 5 ft Interval
- Existing Grade Contour 25 ft Interval
- Capped Area
- Approximate Active CCR
- Disposal/Disturbed Area
- Run-off Collection Area

Note:
See Figure 3 for Phase I-Progressive Closure Plan (Existing Condition).
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Appendix A

TP-40: Rainfall Frequency Atlas
25-Year 24-Hour Rainfall
TECHNICAL PAPER NO. 40

RAINFALL FREQUENCY ATLAS OF THE UNITED STATES

for Durations from 30 Minutes to 24 Hours and Return Periods from 1 to 100 Years

Prepared by DAVID M. HERSFIELD
Cooperative Studies Section, Hydrologic Services Division for Engineering Division, Soil Conservation Service U.S. Department of Agriculture
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Appendix B

HELP Model Results
### HYDROLOGIC EVALUATION OF LANDFILL PERFORMANCE
HELP MODEL VERSION 3.07 (1 November 1997)
DEVELOPED BY ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY
USAE WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION
FOR USEPA RISK REDUCTION ENGINEERING LABORATORY

---

**PRECIPITATION DATA FILE:** C:\WHI\VHELP22\data\P8054.VHP\_weather1.dat
**TEMPERATURE DATA FILE:** C:\WHI\VHELP22\data\P8054.VHP\_weather2.dat
**SOLAR RADIATION DATA FILE:** C:\WHI\VHELP22\data\P8054.VHP\_weather3.dat
**EVAPOTRANSPIRATION DATA:** C:\WHI\VHELP22\data\P8054.VHP\_weather4.dat
**SOIL AND DESIGN DATA FILE:** C:\WHI\VHELP22\data\P8054.VHP\I_395493.inp
**OUTPUT DATA FILE:** C:\WHI\VHELP22\data\P8054.VHP\O_395493.prt

---

**TIME:** 10:44  **DATE:** 9/21/2016

---

**TITLE:** A1 Landfill Exposed CCR

---

**NOTE:** INITIAL MOISTURE CONTENT OF THE LAYERS AND SNOW WATER WERE COMPUTED AS NEARLY STEADY-STATE VALUES BY THE PROGRAM.

---

**LAYER 1**

**TYPE 1 - VERTICAL PERCOLATION LAYER**
**MATERIAL TEXTURE NUMBER = 30**
**THICKNESS = 304.80 CM**
**POROSITY = 0.5410 VOL/VOL**
**FIELD CAPACITY = 0.1870 VOL/VOL**
**WILTING POINT = 0.0470 VOL/VOL**
**INITIAL SOIL WATER CONTENT = 0.2080 VOL/VOL**
**EFFECTIVE SAT. HYD. COND. = 0.500001120000E -04 CM/SEC**
**NOTE:** SATURATED HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY IS MULTIPLIED BY 5.00 FOR ROOT CHANNELS IN TOP HALF OF EVAPORATIVE ZONE.

---

**GENERAL DESIGN AND EVAPORATIVE ZONE DATA**

**NOTE:** SCS RUNOFF CURVE NUMBER WAS COMPUTED FROM DEFAULT SOIL DATA BASE USING SOIL TEXTURE #30 WITH BARE GROUND CONDITIONS, A SURFACE SLOPE OF 4.0 % AND A SLOPE LENGTH OF 431. METERS.

**SCS RUNOFF CURVE NUMBER = 94.44**
**FRACTION OF AREA ALLOWING RUNOFF = 100.0 PERCENT**
**AREA PROJECTED ON HORIZONTAL PLANE = 20.2343 HECTARES**
**EVAPORATIVE ZONE DEPTH = 25.4 CM**
**INITIAL WATER IN EVAPORATIVE ZONE = 6.851 CM**
**UPPER LIMIT OF EVAPORATIVE STORAGE = 13.741 CM**
**LOWER LIMIT OF EVAPORATIVE STORAGE = 1.194 CM**
**INITIAL SNOW WATER = 83.397 CM**
**TOTAL INITIAL WATER = 63.397 CM**
**TOTAL SUBSURFACE INFLOW = 0.00 MM/yr**
NOTE: EVAPOTRANSPERSION DATA WAS OBTAINED FROM Martin Lake (Shreveport) LA

STATION LATITUDE = 32.47 DEGREES
MAXIMUM LEAF AREA INDEX = 4.50
START OF GROWING SEASON (JULIAN DATE) = 58
END OF GROWING SEASON (JULIAN DATE) = 331
EVAPORATIVE ZONE DEPTH = 10.0 INCHES
AVERAGE ANNUAL WIND SPEED = 8.60 MPH
AVERAGE 1ST QUARTER RELATIVE HUMIDITY = 70.00%
AVERAGE 2ND QUARTER RELATIVE HUMIDITY = 72.00%
AVERAGE 3RD QUARTER RELATIVE HUMIDITY = 72.00%
AVERAGE 4TH QUARTER RELATIVE HUMIDITY = 72.00%

NOTE: PRECIPITATION DATA WAS SYNTHETICALLY GENERATED USING COEFFICIENTS FOR Martin Lake (Shreveport) LA

NORMAL MEAN MONTHLY PRECIPITATION (INCHES)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN/JUL</th>
<th>FEB/AUG</th>
<th>MAR/SEP</th>
<th>APR/OCT</th>
<th>MAY/NOV</th>
<th>JUN/DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>3.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: TEMPERATURE DATA WAS SYNTHETICALLY GENERATED USING COEFFICIENTS FOR Martin Lake (Shreveport) LA

NORMAL MEAN MONTHLY TEMPERATURE (DEGREES FAHRENHEIT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN/JUL</th>
<th>FEB/AUG</th>
<th>MAR/SEP</th>
<th>APR/OCT</th>
<th>MAY/NOV</th>
<th>JUN/DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>49.80</td>
<td>57.00</td>
<td>65.70</td>
<td>73.00</td>
<td>79.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82.90</td>
<td>82.40</td>
<td>77.10</td>
<td>66.70</td>
<td>55.70</td>
<td>48.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: SOLAR RADIATION DATA WAS SYNTHETICALLY GENERATED USING COEFFICIENTS FOR Martin Lake (Shreveport) LA
AND STATION LATITUDE = 30.56 DEGREES

AVG MONTHLY VALUES IN INCHES FOR YEARS 1 THROUGH 30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN/JUL</th>
<th>FEB/AUG</th>
<th>MAR/SEP</th>
<th>APR/OCT</th>
<th>MAY/NOV</th>
<th>JUN/DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRECIPITATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>4.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD. DEVIATIONS</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUNOFF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>1.358</td>
<td>1.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD. DEVIATIONS</td>
<td>1.191</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td>1.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVAPOTRANSPERSION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>1.682</td>
<td>2.086</td>
<td>2.974</td>
<td>3.744</td>
<td>3.446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD. DEVIATIONS</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.675</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>1.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERCOLATION/LEAKAGE THROUGH LAYER 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Average Annual Totals & (Std. Deviations) for Years 1 Through 30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Inches</th>
<th>Cu. Feet</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>42.31</td>
<td>(7.468)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runoff</td>
<td>11.091</td>
<td>(3.6596)</td>
<td>26.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evapotranspiration</td>
<td>26.907</td>
<td>(3.3466)</td>
<td>63.589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percolation/Leakage Through Layer 1</td>
<td>4.09593 (1.58753)</td>
<td>743394.377</td>
<td>9.67999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Water Storage</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>(1.9488)</td>
<td>0.519</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Peak Daily Values for Years 1 Through 30 and their dates (DDYYYY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Inches</th>
<th>Cu. Ft.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>744133.78060</td>
<td>1980021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runoff</td>
<td>2.906</td>
<td>527373.97888</td>
<td>130016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percolation/Leakage Through Layer 1</td>
<td>0.061176</td>
<td>11103.25615</td>
<td>680020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow Water</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>515544.0726</td>
<td>130002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Maximum Veg. Soil Water (Vol/Vol)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vol/Vol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Veg. Soil Water (Vol/Vol)</td>
<td>0.3792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Minimum Veg. Soil Water (Vol/Vol)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vol/Vol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Veg. Soil Water (Vol/Vol)</td>
<td>0.0470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Final Water Storage at End of Year 30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Inches</th>
<th>Vol/Vol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>31.5418</td>
<td>0.2628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow Water</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TOTALS**

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.2556</td>
<td>0.1482</td>
<td>0.1696</td>
<td>0.2712</td>
<td>0.3712</td>
<td>0.4510</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4822</td>
<td>0.4559</td>
<td>0.4061</td>
<td>0.3832</td>
<td>0.3407</td>
<td>0.3610</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STD. DEVIATIONS</strong></td>
<td>0.1017</td>
<td>0.0819</td>
<td>0.2083</td>
<td>0.3244</td>
<td>0.3429</td>
<td>0.2601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2085</td>
<td>0.1654</td>
<td>0.1299</td>
<td>0.1117</td>
<td>0.0947</td>
<td>0.0878</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**STD. DEVIATIONS**

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1017</td>
<td>0.0819</td>
<td>0.2083</td>
<td>0.3244</td>
<td>0.3429</td>
<td>0.2601</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2085</td>
<td>0.1654</td>
<td>0.1299</td>
<td>0.1117</td>
<td>0.0947</td>
<td>0.0878</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
** HYDROLOGIC EVALUATION OF LANDFILL PERFORMANCE **
HELP MODEL VERSION 3.07 (1 November 1997)
DEVELOPED BY ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY
USAE WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION
FOR USEPA RISK REDUCTION ENGINEERING LABORATORY**

---

** PRECIPITATION DATA FILE: C:\WHI\HELP22\data\P8054.VHP\weather1.dat **
** TEMPERATURE DATA FILE: C:\WHI\HELP22\data\P8054.VHP\weather2.dat **
** SOLAR RADIATION DATA FILE: C:\WHI\HELP22\data\P8054.VHP\weather3.dat **
** EVAPOTRANSPIRATION DATA: C:\WHI\HELP22\data\P8054.VHP\weather4.dat **
** SOIL AND DESIGN DATA FILE: C:\WHI\HELP22\data\P8054.VHP\_394356.inp **
** OUTPUT DATA FILE: C:\WHI\HELP22\data\P8054.VHP\O\_394356.prt **

TIME: 10:43     DATE: 9/21/2016

---

** NOTE: INITIAL MOISTURE CONTENT OF THE LAYERS AND SNOW WATER WERE COMPUTED AS NEARLY STEADY-STATE VALUES BY THE PROGRAM. **

---

** LAYER 1 **
---

TYPE 1 - VERTICAL PERCOLATION LAYER
MATERIAL TEXTURE NUMBER = 6
THICKNESS = 60.96 CM
POROSITY = 0.4530 VOL/VOL
FIELD CAPACITY = 0.1900 VOL/VOL
WILTING POINT = 0.0850 VOL/VOL
INITIAL SOIL WATER CONTENT = 0.4275 VOL/VOL
EFFECTIVE SAT. HYD. COND. = 0.720000000000E-03 CM/SEC
NOTE: SATURATED HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY IS MULTIPLIED BY 5.00 FOR ROOT CHANNELS IN TOP HALF OF EVAPORATIVE ZONE.

---

** LAYER 2 **
---

TYPE 3 - BARRIER SOIL LINER
MATERIAL TEXTURE NUMBER = 29
THICKNESS = 91.44 CM
POROSITY = 0.4510 VOL/VOL
FIELD CAPACITY = 0.1900 VOL/VOL
WILTING POINT = 0.0850 VOL/VOL
INITIAL SOIL WATER CONTENT = 0.4510 VOL/VOL
EFFECTIVE SAT. HYD. COND. = 0.100000000000E-06 CM/SEC

---

** GENERAL DESIGN AND EVAPORATIVE ZONE DATA **
---

NOTE: SCS RUNOFF CURVE NUMBER WAS COMPUTED FROM DEFAULT SOIL DATA BASE USING SOIL TEXTURE #6 WITH A
FAIR STAND OF GRASS, A SURFACE SLOPE OF 4.0\% AND A SLOPE LENGTH OF 536. METERS.

SCS RUNOFF CURVE NUMBER = 66.18
FRACTION OF AREA ALLOWING RUNOFF = 100.0 \% PERCENT
AREA PROJECTED ON HORIZONTAL PLANE = 27.5186 HECTARES
EVAPORATIVE ZONE DEPTH = 25.4 CM
INITIAL WATER IN EVAPORATIVE ZONE = 9.953 CM
UPPER LIMIT OF EVAPORATIVE STORAGE = 11.506 CM
LOWER LIMIT OF EVAPORATIVE STORAGE = 2.159 CM
INITIAL SNOW WATER = 0.000 CM
INITIAL WATER IN LAYER MATERIALS = 67.301 CM
TOTAL INITIAL WATER = 67.301 CM
TOTAL SUBSURFACE INFLOW = 0.00 MM/YR

EVAPOTRANSPIRATION AND WEATHER DATA

NOTE: EVAPOTRANSPIRATION DATA WAS OBTAINED FROM Martin Lake (Shreveport)LA
STATION LATITUDE = 32.47 DEGREES
MAXIMUM LEAF AREA INDEX = 4.50
START OF GROWING SEASON (JULIAN DATE) = 58
END OF GROWING SEASON (JULIAN DATE) = 331
EVAPORATIVE ZONE DEPTH = 10.0 INCHES
AVERAGE ANNUAL WIND SPEED = 8.60 MPH
AVERAGE 1ST QUARTER RELATIVE HUMIDITY = 70.00 \%
AVERAGE 2ND QUARTER RELATIVE HUMIDITY = 72.00 \%
AVERAGE 3RD QUARTER RELATIVE HUMIDITY = 72.00 \%
AVERAGE 4TH QUARTER RELATIVE HUMIDITY = 72.00 \%

NOTE: PRECIPITATION DATA WAS SYNTHETICALLY GENERATED USING COEFFICIENTS FOR Martin Lake (Shreveport)LA
NORMAL MEAN MONTHLY Precipitation (INCHES)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN/JUL</th>
<th>FEB/AUG</th>
<th>MAR/SEP</th>
<th>APR/OCT</th>
<th>MAY/NOV</th>
<th>JUN/DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>3.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: TEMPERATURE DATA WAS SYNTHETICALLY GENERATED USING COEFFICIENTS FOR Martin Lake (Shreveport)LA
NORMAL MEAN MONTHLY TEMPERATURE (DEGREES FAHRENHEIT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN/JUL</th>
<th>FEB/AUG</th>
<th>MAR/SEP</th>
<th>APR/OCT</th>
<th>MAY/NOV</th>
<th>JUN/DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>49.80</td>
<td>57.00</td>
<td>65.70</td>
<td>73.00</td>
<td>79.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82.90</td>
<td>82.40</td>
<td>77.10</td>
<td>66.70</td>
<td>55.70</td>
<td>48.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: SOLAR RADIATION DATA WAS SYNTHETICALLY GENERATED USING COEFFICIENTS FOR Martin Lake (Shreveport)LA AND STATION LATITUDE = 30.56 DEGREES

**************************************************************************************************
MONTHLY TOTALS (IN INCHES) FOR YEAR 30
**************************************************************************************************

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN/JUL</th>
<th>FEB/AUG</th>
<th>MAR/SEP</th>
<th>APR/OCT</th>
<th>MAY/NOV</th>
<th>JUN/DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRECIPITATION</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>8.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUNOFF</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>3.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVAPOTRANSPIRATION</td>
<td>1.614</td>
<td>1.745</td>
<td>3.186</td>
<td>3.700</td>
<td>4.537</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MONTHLY summaries for daily heads (Inches)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>JAN/JUL</th>
<th>FEB/AUG</th>
<th>MAR/SEP</th>
<th>APR/OCT</th>
<th>MAY/NOV</th>
<th>JUN/DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRECIPITATION</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD. DEVIATIONS</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUNOFF</td>
<td>1.957</td>
<td>1.027</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>0.812</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>1.862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD. DEVIATIONS</td>
<td>2.245</td>
<td>1.144</td>
<td>1.148</td>
<td>1.010</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>0.791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>1.033</td>
<td>2.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVAPOTRANSPIRATION</td>
<td>1.679</td>
<td>2.116</td>
<td>3.151</td>
<td>4.846</td>
<td>4.872</td>
<td>3.792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.455</td>
<td>2.086</td>
<td>2.474</td>
<td>1.663</td>
<td>1.068</td>
<td>1.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD. DEVIATIONS</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>0.659</td>
<td>1.420</td>
<td>2.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.629</td>
<td>1.532</td>
<td>1.238</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANNUAL TOTALS for YEAR 30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>INCHES</th>
<th>CU. FEET</th>
<th>PERCENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRECIPITATION</td>
<td>37.05</td>
<td>9145222.668</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUNOFF</td>
<td>6.149</td>
<td>1517733.345</td>
<td>16.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVAPOTRANSPIRATION</td>
<td>29.319</td>
<td>7236958.372</td>
<td>79.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERC./LEAKAGE THROUGH LAYER 2</td>
<td>1.834348</td>
<td>452780.602</td>
<td>4.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVG. HEAD ON TOP OF LAYER 2</td>
<td>17.1849</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHANGE IN WATER STORAGE</td>
<td>-0.252</td>
<td>-62249.515</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOIL WATER AT START OF YEAR</td>
<td>26.972</td>
<td>6657733.258</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOIL WATER AT END OF YEAR</td>
<td>26.720</td>
<td>6595483.743</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNOW WATER AT START OF YEAR</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNOW WATER AT END OF YEAR</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANNUAL WATER BUDGET BALANCE</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>-0.137</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AVERAGE MONTHLY VALUES IN INCHES FOR YEARS 1 THROUGH 30
### Averages of Monthly Averaged Daily Heads (inches)

**Daily Averages of Head on Top of Layer 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>STD. DEVIATIONS</strong></td>
<td>1.2528</td>
<td>1.4403</td>
<td>1.2917</td>
<td>1.7502</td>
<td>1.9106</td>
<td>1.5274</td>
<td>1.3042</td>
<td>0.9131</td>
<td>1.6268</td>
<td>2.4005</td>
<td>3.9594</td>
<td>2.0719</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Average Annual Totals & (STD. Deviations) for Years 1 Through 30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Inches</th>
<th>Cu. Feet</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>42.31</td>
<td>(7.468)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runoff</td>
<td>7.990</td>
<td>(4.7750)</td>
<td>18.844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evapotranspiration</td>
<td>32.460</td>
<td>(4.3675)</td>
<td>76.714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percolation/Leakage Through Layer 2</td>
<td>1.85537 (0.02814)</td>
<td>457970.335</td>
<td>4.38484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Head on Top of Layer 2</td>
<td>17.782 (0.810)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Water Storage</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>(0.6000)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Peak Daily Values for Years 1 Through 30 and their dates (DDDYYYY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Inches</th>
<th>Cu. Ft.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>1012021.94161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runoff</td>
<td>3.750</td>
<td>925585.11065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percolation/Leakage Through Layer 2</td>
<td>0.005669</td>
<td>1399.35021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Head on Top of Layer 2</td>
<td>24.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow Water</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>701139.9388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Veg. Soil Water (Vol/Vol)</td>
<td>0.4530</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Veg. Soil Water (Vol/Vol)</td>
<td>0.0850</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Final Water Storage at End of Year 30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Inches</th>
<th>Vol/Vol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.4843</td>
<td>0.4368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.2360</td>
<td>0.4510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow Water</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**HYDROLOGIC EVALUATION OF LANDFILL PERFORMANCE**
**HELP MODEL VERSION 3.07 (1 November 1997)**
**DEVELOPED BY ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY**
**USAE WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION**
**FOR USEPA RISK REDUCTION ENGINEERING LABORATORY**

PRECIPITATION DATA FILE: C:\WHI\VHELP22\data\P8054.VHP\_weather1.dat
TEMPERATURE DATA FILE: C:\WHI\VHELP22\data\P8054.VHP\_weather2.dat
SOLAR RADIATION DATA FILE: C:\WHI\VHELP22\data\P8054.VHP\_weather3.dat
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION DATA: C:\WHI\VHELP22\data\P8054.VHP\_weather4.dat
SOIL AND DESIGN DATA FILE: C:\WHI\VHELP22\data\P8054.VHP\I_395512.inp
OUTPUT DATA FILE: C:\WHI\VHELP22\data\P8054.VHP\O_395512.prt

TIME: 10:46   DATE: 9/21/2016

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
TITLE: A1 Landfill Partial Reclaim
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

NOTE: INITIAL MOISTURE CONTENT OF THE LAYERS AND SNOW WATER WERE COMPUTED AS NEARLY STEADY-STATE VALUES BY THE PROGRAM.

**LAYER 1**

---------

TYPE 1 - VERTICAL PERCOLATION LAYER
MATERIAL TEXTURE NUMBER   = 6
THICKNESS                = 60.96  CM
POROSITY                 = 0.4530  VOL/VOL
FIELD CAPACITY           = 0.1900  VOL/VOL
WILTING POINT            = 0.0850  VOL/VOL
INITIAL SOIL WATER CONTENT = 0.2380  VOL/VOL

EFFECTIVE SAT. HYD. COND. = 0.720000000000E -03 CM/SEC
NOTE: SATURATED HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY IS MULTIPLIED BY 5.00 FOR ROOT CHANNELS IN TOP HALF OF EVAPORATIVE ZONE.

GENERAL DESIGN AND EVAPORATIVE ZONE DATA

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
NOTE: SCS RUNOFF CURVE NUMBER WAS COMPUTED FROM DEFAULT SOIL DATA BASE USING SOIL TEXTURE # 6 WITH A FAIR STAND OF GRASS, A SURFACE SLOPE OF 3.0%, AND A SLOPE LENGTH OF 30. METERS.

SCS RUNOFF CURVE NUMBER               = 71.56
FRACTION OF AREA ALLOWING RUNOFF      = 100.0   PERCENT
AREA PROJECTED ON HORIZONTAL PLANE    = 25.4952   HECTARES
EVAPORATIVE ZONE DEPTH                = 25.4    CM
INITIAL WATER IN EVAPORATIVE ZONE     = 5.097    CM
UPPER LIMIT OF EVAPORATIVE STORAGE    = 11.506    CM
LOWER LIMIT OF EVAPORATIVE STORAGE    = 2.159    CM
INITIAL SNOW WATER                     = 0.000    CM
INITIAL WATER IN LAYER MATERIALS      = 14.509    CM
TOTAL INITIAL WATER                   = 14.509    CM
TOTAL SUBSURFACE INFLOW               = 0.000    MM/yr
NOTE: EVAPOTRANSPIRATION DATA WAS OBTAINED FROM Martin Lake (Shreveport) LA

STATION LATITUDE = 32.47 DEGREES
MAXIMUM LEAF AREA INDEX = 4.50
START OF GROWING SEASON (JULIAN DATE) = 58
END OF GROWING SEASON (JULIAN DATE) = 331
EVAPORATIVE ZONE DEPTH = 10.0 INCHES
AVERAGE ANNUAL WIND SPEED = 8.60 MPH
AVERAGE 1ST QUARTER RELATIVE HUMIDITY = 70.00 %
AVERAGE 2ND QUARTER RELATIVE HUMIDITY = 72.00 %
AVERAGE 3RD QUARTER RELATIVE HUMIDITY = 72.00 %
AVERAGE 4TH QUARTER RELATIVE HUMIDITY = 72.00 %

NOTE: PRECIPITATION DATA WAS SYNTHETICALLY GENERATED USING COEFFICIENTS FOR Martin Lake (Shreveport) LA

NORMAL MEAN MONTHLY PRECIPITATION (INCHES)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN/JUL</th>
<th>FEB/AUG</th>
<th>MAR/SEP</th>
<th>APR/OCT</th>
<th>MAY/NOV</th>
<th>JUN/DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>3.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: TEMPERATURE DATA WAS SYNTHETICALLY GENERATED USING COEFFICIENTS FOR Martin Lake (Shreveport) LA

NORMAL MEAN MONTHLY TEMPERATURE (DEGREES FAHRENHEIT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN/JUL</th>
<th>FEB/AUG</th>
<th>MAR/SEP</th>
<th>APR/OCT</th>
<th>MAY/NOV</th>
<th>JUN/DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>49.80</td>
<td>57.00</td>
<td>65.70</td>
<td>73.00</td>
<td>79.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82.90</td>
<td>82.40</td>
<td>77.10</td>
<td>66.70</td>
<td>55.70</td>
<td>48.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: SOLAR RADIATION DATA WAS SYNTHETICALLY GENERATED USING COEFFICIENTS FOR Martin Lake (Shreveport) LA AND STATION LATITUDE = 30.56 DEGREES

AVERAGE MONTHLY VALUES IN INCHES FOR YEARS 1 THROUGH 30

PRECIPITATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN/JUL</th>
<th>FEB/AUG</th>
<th>MAR/SEP</th>
<th>APR/OCT</th>
<th>MAY/NOV</th>
<th>JUN/DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STD. DEVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN/JUL</th>
<th>FEB/AUG</th>
<th>MAR/SEP</th>
<th>APR/OCT</th>
<th>MAY/NOV</th>
<th>JUN/DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RUNOFF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN/JUL</th>
<th>FEB/AUG</th>
<th>MAR/SEP</th>
<th>APR/OCT</th>
<th>MAY/NOV</th>
<th>JUN/DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STD. DEVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN/JUL</th>
<th>FEB/AUG</th>
<th>MAR/SEP</th>
<th>APR/OCT</th>
<th>MAY/NOV</th>
<th>JUN/DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EVAPOTRANSPIRATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN/JUL</th>
<th>FEB/AUG</th>
<th>MAR/SEP</th>
<th>APR/OCT</th>
<th>MAY/NOV</th>
<th>JUN/DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.665</td>
<td>1.972</td>
<td>2.757</td>
<td>3.461</td>
<td>3.409</td>
<td>2.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.800</td>
<td>1.898</td>
<td>2.244</td>
<td>1.583</td>
<td>1.182</td>
<td>1.406</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STD. DEVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN/JUL</th>
<th>FEB/AUG</th>
<th>MAR/SEP</th>
<th>APR/OCT</th>
<th>MAY/NOV</th>
<th>JUN/DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>1.069</td>
<td>1.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.201</td>
<td>1.289</td>
<td>1.039</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PERCOLATION/LEAKAGE THROUGH LAYER 1
### AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTALS & (STD. DEVIATIONS) FOR YEARS 1 THROUGH 30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>INCHES</th>
<th>CU. FEET</th>
<th>PERCENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRECIPITATION</td>
<td>42.31</td>
<td>(7.468)</td>
<td>9676425.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUNOFF</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>(0.2308)</td>
<td>45928.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVAPOTRANSPERSION</td>
<td>27.132</td>
<td>(3.3649)</td>
<td>6204738.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERCOLATION/LEAKAGE THROUGH LAYER 1</td>
<td>15.01282</td>
<td>(5.14854)</td>
<td>3433207.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHANGE IN WATER STORAGE</td>
<td>-0.033</td>
<td>(0.8865)</td>
<td>-7449.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### PEAK DAILY VALUES FOR YEARS 1 THROUGH 30 and their dates (DDDYYYY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(INCHES)</th>
<th>(CU. FT.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRECIPITATION</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>937608.56355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUNOFF</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>113429.05610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERCOLATION/LEAKAGE THROUGH LAYER 1</td>
<td>2.604084</td>
<td>595515.01639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNOW WATER</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>649585.5315</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                     | MINIMUM VEG. SOIL WATER (VOL/VOL) | 0.0850 |
|                     | MAXIMUM VEG. SOIL WATER (VOL/VOL) | 0.3751 |

---

### FINAL WATER STORAGE AT END OF YEAR 30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAYER</th>
<th>(INCHES)</th>
<th>(VOL/VOL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.7350</td>
<td>0.1973</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| SNOW WATER | 0.000 |

---
Appendix C

Watershed Boundaries – Phase I Landfill Operating Scenario (Existing Condition)
EXPLANATION
- Landfill Registration Boundary
- Existing Grade Contour 5 ft Interval
- Existing Grade Contour 25 ft Interval
- Capped Area
- Active CCR Disposal/Disturbed Area
- Run-off Collection Area
- Watershed Boundary

PHASE I WATERSHED SUMMARY

NORTH RUN-OFF POND
- Active CCR Disposal = 50 acres
- Capped/Closed Area = 68 acres
- Total Area = 118 acres

FORMER SOUTH RUN-OFF POND
- Active CCR Disposal = 39 acres
- Partial Reclalm = 63 acres
- Total Area = 102 acres

SOUTH RUN-OFF POND
- Active CCR Disposal = 123 acres
- Partial Reclaim = 79 acres
- Total Area = 202 acres

LUMINANT GENERATION COMPANY, LLC
MARTIN LAKE STEAM ELECTRIC STATION
Appendix C
WATERSHED BOUNDARIES
PHASE I - EXISTING CONDITIONS

PASTOR, BEHLING & WHEELER, LLC
CONSULTING ENGINEERS AND SCIENTISTS

PROJECT: 5196B
BY: AJD
DATE: SEPT. 2016
CHECKED: AJD
Appendix D

Watershed Boundaries – Phase II Landfill Operating Scenario (Future Cover System)
EXPLANATION

- Landfill Registration Boundary
- Existing Grade Contour
  - 5 ft Interval
- Existing Grade Contour
  - 25 ft Interval
- Capped Area
- Approximate Active CCR Disposal/Disturbed Area (Phase II)
- Run-off Collection Area
- Watershed Boundary

PHASE II WATERSHED SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Acres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active CCR Disposal/Disturbed</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capped/Closed Area</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial Reclaim</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Area</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FORMER SOUTH RUN-OFF POND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Acres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active CCR Disposal</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial Reclaim</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Area</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
* Denotes areas of partially reclaimed mine spoil and/or areas where bottom liner has been prepared. Composite SCS Curve Number of 72 used to characterize these areas.
Appendix E

Run-off Containment Capacities
Note:
North run-off pond capacity estimated based on top of embankment elevation of 370 ft-msl and assumed minimum water elevation of 364.2 ft-msl (Based on January 2016 survey).
**EXPLANATION**

- Landfill Registration Boundary
- Existing Grade Contour
  - 5 ft. Interval
- Existing Grade Contour
  - 25 ft. Interval
- Capped Area
- Active CCR Disposal/Disturbed Area
- Run-off Collection Area

Note:

1. South run-off pond capacity estimated based on top of embankment elevation of 380 ft-msl and assumed minimum water elevation of 370.6 ft-msl (Based on January 2016 survey).

---

**Cut/Fill Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Cut Factor</th>
<th>Fill Factor</th>
<th>2d Area</th>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>Fill</th>
<th>Net</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Pond Volume</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>192318.78 Sq. Ft.</td>
<td>0.00 Cu. Yd.</td>
<td>52412.14 Cu. Yd.</td>
<td>52412.14 Cu. Yd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>192318.78 Sq. Ft.</td>
<td>0.00 Cu. Yd.</td>
<td>52412.14 Cu. Yd.</td>
<td>52412.14 Cu. Yd.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXPLANATION

- Landfill Registration Boundary
- Existing Grade Contour 5 ft Interval
- Existing Grade Contour 25 ft Interval
- Capped Area
- Active CCR Disposal/ Disturbed Area
- Run-off Collection Area

Note:
1. Former South Run-off Collection Area capacity estimate is based on run-off accumulation up to elevation of 380 ft-msl and initial assumed water elevation of 371.4 ft-msl (Based on January 2016 survey).
2. Effective capacity of the former south run-off collection area (3,256,000 ft$^3$) does not include accumulation of run-off in the South Treatment Pond.

Cut/Fill Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Cut Factor</th>
<th>Fill Factor</th>
<th>2d Area</th>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>Fill</th>
<th>Net</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Former South Run-off Pond</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>645677.37 Sq. Ft.</td>
<td>0.00 Cu. Yd.</td>
<td>120611.04 Cu. Yd.</td>
<td>120611.04 Cu. Yd.&lt;Fill&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>645677.37 Sq. Ft.</td>
<td>0.00 Cu. Yd.</td>
<td>120611.04 Cu. Yd.</td>
<td>120611.04 Cu. Yd.&lt;Fill&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Definitions

Active Area Runoff - storm water that comes into contact with waste
Storm Water Runoff from Inactive Areas- storm water that falls outside of an active waste management area and does not come into contact with waste (i.e. capped or previously reclaimed areas)

Assumptions

1) North Run-off Collection Area (NROCA) is partially capped (i.e. protective soil areas)
2) Active Area Run-off water is generated on a limited portion of NROCA
3) Active Area Run-off volume based on a 25-year, 24-hr storm
4) Assumed exposed fly and bottom ash, and exposed protective soil exist in NROCA
5) Storm water runoff volumes are estimated using the Curve Number method as described in USDA’s Urban Hydrology for Small Water Sheds (TR-55)

Area that Generates Run-off

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Area (acres)</th>
<th>CN</th>
<th>Area X CN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NROCA – Exposed Ash Area</td>
<td>2,178,000 sf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NROCA – Exposed Ash Area</td>
<td>50 acres</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NROCA – Protective Soil Area</td>
<td>2,962,080 sf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NROCA – Protective Soil Area</td>
<td>68 acres</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Design Rainfall

From Technical Paper No. 40: Rainfall Frequency Atlas
Panola County 25-Yr, 24-hr: 8.6 in/day

Curve Numbers

From TR-55, Table 2-2a:
- For exposed fly and bed ash, use CN = 94 (See HELP Model Results)
- For protective soil (capped areas), use CN = 72 (See HELP Model Results)

Calculate Weighted Curve Number for Site

Weighted Curve Number = (Sum of CN X A) / (Total Area)

Weighted Curve Number = 78
Calculate Run-off Volume Using TR-55 Procedures

1) Calculate Potential Retention of Water (S). Assume this represents water adsorbed by ash and protective cover (non free water)

\[
S = \frac{1000}{CNW} - 10
\]

where: \( S \) = Potential Retention of Water, inches

\( CN \) = Curve Number for Site

\[
S = 2.84 \text{ inches}
\]

2) Calculate Depth of Run-off \((Q)\)

\[
Q = \frac{(P-0.2S)^2}{(P-0.8S)}
\]

where: \( Q \) = Depth of run-off generated, inches \( S \) = Potential Retention of Water, inches

\( P \) = Design rainfall, inches

\[
Q = 5.93 \text{ inches}
\]

3) Calculate Volume of Run-Off

\[
V = Q \times A
\]

where: \( Q \) = depth of run-off generated, ft \( A \) = Total Area, sf

\[
Q = 0.49 \text{ feet}
\]

\[
Area = 118 \text{ acres} = 5,140,080 \text{ sf}
\]

\[
V = 5,140,080 \text{ sf} \times 0.49 \text{ feet} = 2,540,823 \text{ cf}
\]

4) Calculate Volume of Precipitation on NROCA

\[
V = \text{surface area (sf)} \times \text{design storm precipitation (ft)}
\]

\[
V = 768,879 \text{ sf} \times 8.6/12 \text{ ft}
\]

\[
V = 551,000 \text{ cf}
\]

**Total Run-off Volume (NROCA): 3,092,000 cf**
**Definitions**

Active Area Runoff - storm water that comes into contact with waste

Storm Water Runoff from Inactive Areas - storm water that falls outside of an active waste management area and does not come into contact with waste (i.e. capped or previously reclaimed areas)

**Assumptions**

1) Former South Runoff Collection Area (FSROCA) is partially reclaimed
2) Active Area Run-off water is generated on a limited portion of FSROCA
3) Active Area Run-off volume based on a 25-year, 24-hr storm
4) Assumed exposed fly and bed ash, as well as partially reclaimed soil exist in FSROCA
5) Storm water runoff volumes are estimated using the Curve Number method as described in USDA’s Urban Hydrology for Small Water Sheds (TR-55)

**Area that Generates Run-off**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Area (acres)</th>
<th>CN</th>
<th>Area X CN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exposed Fly and Bed Ash</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>3,666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially Reclaimed Area</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>4,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>102</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>8,202</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weighted Curve Number = (Sum of Area X CN) / (Total Area)

**Design Rainfall**

From Technical Paper No. 40: Rainfall Frequency Atlas
Panola County 25-Yr, 24-hr: 8.6 in/day

**Curve Numbers**

From TR-55, Table 2-2a:
- For exposed fly and bed ash, use CN = 94 (See HELP Model Results)
- For partially reclaimed area, use CN = 72 (See HELP Model Results)

**Calculate Weighted Curve Number for Site**

Weighted Curve Number = 80.4
**Calculate Run-off Volume Using TR-55 Procedures**

1) Calculate Potential Retention of Water (S). Assume this represents water adsorbed by ash and protective cover (non free water)

\[
S = \frac{1000}{CNW} - 10
\]

where: 
\( S \) = Potential Retention of Water, inches 
\( CN \) = Curve Number for Site

\[
S = 2.44 \text{ inches}
\]

2) Calculate Depth of Run-off (Q)

\[
Q = \frac{(P - 0.2S)^2}{P - 0.8S}
\]

where: 
\( Q \) = Depth of run-off generated, inches 
\( S \) = Potential Retention of Water, inches 
\( P \) = Design rainfall, inches

\[
Q = 6.24 \text{ inches}
\]

3) Calculate Volume of Run-off

\[V = Q \times A\]

where: 
\( Q \) = depth of run-off generated, ft 
\( A \) = Total Area, sf

\[
Q = 6.24 \text{ inches} \quad \text{or} \quad 0.52 \text{ feet}
\]

Area = 102 acres = 4,443,120 sf

\[V = 4,443,120 \text{ sf} \times 0.52 \text{ feet} = 2,310,000 \text{ cf}
\]

4) Calculate Volume of Precipitation on FSROCA

\[V = \text{surface area (sf)} \times \text{design storm precipitation (ft)}\]

\[V = 260,880 \text{ sf} \times (8.6/12) \text{ ft}\]

\[V = 187,000 \text{ cf}\]

**Total Run-off Volume (FSROCA): 2,497,000 cf**
APPENDIX F-1
PHASE I – PROGRESSIVE CLOSURE PLAN – EXISTING CONDITION
LUMINANT GENERATING COMPANY, LLC
MARTIN LAKE STEAM ELECTRIC STATION – A-1 AREA LANDFILL
RUN-OFF VOLUME CALCULATIONS

Definitions

Active Area Runoff - storm water that comes into contact with waste
Storm Water Runoff from Inactive Areas- storm water that falls outside of an active waste management area and does not come into contact with waste (i.e. capped or previously reclaimed areas)

Assumptions

1) South Run-off Collection Area (SROCA) is partially capped (i.e. protective soil areas)
2) Active Area Run-off water is generated on a limited portion of SROCA
3) Active Area Run-off volume based on a 25-year, 24-hr storm
4) Assumed exposed fly and bed ash, as well as partially reclaimed area exist in SROCA
5) Storm water runoff volumes are estimated using the Curve Number method as described in USDA’s Urban Hydrology for Small Water Sheds (TR-55)

Area that Generates Run-off

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area Description</th>
<th>Area (acres)</th>
<th>CN</th>
<th>Area X CN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SROCA – Exposed ash area</td>
<td>5,357,880 sf</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>11,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SROCA – Exposed ash area</td>
<td>123 acres</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>11,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SROCA – Partially reclaimed area</td>
<td>3,441,240 sf</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>5,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SROCA – Partially reclaimed area</td>
<td>79 acres</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>5,688</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Area = 202 acres

Design Rainfall

From Technical Paper No. 40: Rainfall Frequency Atlas
Panola County 25-Yr, 24-hr: 8.6 in/day

Curve Numbers

From TR-55, Table 2-2a:
- For exposed fly and bed ash, use CN = 94 (See HELP Model Results)
- For partially reclaimed area, use CN = 72 (See HELP Model Results)

Calculate Weighted Curve Number for Site

\[
\text{Weighted Curve Number} = \frac{(\text{Sum of CN X A})}{(\text{Total Area})}
\]

Weighted Curve Number = 85.4
Calculate Run-off Volume Using TR-55 Procedures

1) Calculate Potential Retention of Water (S). Assume this represents water adsorbed by ash and protective cover (non free water)

\[ S = \frac{1000}{CNW} - 10 \]

where: 
- \( S \) = Potential Retention of Water, inches
- \( CN \) = Curve Number for Site

\[ S = 1.71 \text{ inches} \]

2) Calculate Depth of Run-off (Q)

\[ Q = \frac{(P - 0.2S)^2}{P - 0.8S} \]

where: 
- \( Q \) = Depth of run-off generated, inches
- \( S \) = Potential Retention of Water, inches
- \( P \) = Design rainfall, inches

\[ Q = 6.84 \text{ inches} \]

3) Calculate Volume of Run-off

\[ V = Q \times A \]

where: 
- \( Q \) = depth of run-off generated, ft
- \( A \) = Total Area, sf

\[ \begin{align*}
Q &= 6.84 \text{ inches} \\
Q &= 0.57 \text{ feet} \\
\text{Area} &= 202 \text{ acres} \\
\text{Area} &= 8,799,120 \text{ sf} \\
V &= 8,799,120 \text{ sf} \times 0.57 \text{ feet} = 5,016,000 \text{ cf}
\end{align*} \]

4) Calculate Volume of Precipitation on SROCA

\[ V = \text{surface area (sf)} \times \text{design storm precipitation (ft)} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
V &= 1,929,319 \text{ sf} \times (8.6/12) \text{ ft} \\
V &= 1,383,000 \text{ cf}
\end{align*} \]

Total Run-off Volume (SROCA): 6,399,000 cf
Definitions

Active Area Runoff - storm water that comes into contact with waste
Storm Water Runoff from Inactive Areas - storm water that falls outside of an active waste management area and does not come into contact with waste (i.e. capped or previously reclaimed areas)

Assumptions

1) NROCA is completely capped
2) Active Area Run-off water is generated on a limited portion of SROCA
3) Active Area Run-off volume based on a 25-year, 24-hr storm
4) Assumed exposed fly and bed ash, and partially reclaimed area exist in SROCA
5) Storm water runoff volumes are estimated using the Curve Number method as described in USDA’s Urban Hydrology for Small Water Sheds (TR-55)

Area that Generates Run-off

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Area (acres)</th>
<th>CN</th>
<th>Area X CN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SROCA – Exposed Ash Area</td>
<td>5,488,560 sf</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>11,844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SROCA – Exposed Ash Area</td>
<td>126 acres</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SROCA – Capped Area</td>
<td>1,219,680 sf</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1,848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SROCA – Capped Area</td>
<td>28 acres</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SROCA – Partially Reclaimed Area</td>
<td>2,090,880 sf</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3,456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SROCA – Partially Reclaimed Area</td>
<td>48 acres</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weighted Curve Number = (Sum of CN X A) / (Total Area)

Weighted Curve Number = 84.9
Calculate Run-off Volume Using TR-55 Procedures

1) Calculate Potential Retention of Water (S). Assume this represents water adsorbed by ash and protective cover (non-free water)

\[ S = (1000/CN)-10 \]

where: \( S \) = Potential Retention of Water, inches
\( CN \) = Curve Number for Site

\[ S = 1.78 \text{ inches} \]

2) Calculate Depth of Run-off (Q)

\[ Q = \frac{[(P-0.2S)^2]}{[P-0.8S]} \]

where: \( Q \) = Depth of run-off generated, inches
\( S \) = Potential Retention of Water, inches
\( P \) = Design rainfall, inches

\[ Q = 6.78 \text{ inches} \]

3) Calculate Volume of Run-off

\[ V = Q \times A \]

where: \( Q \) = depth of run-off generated, ft
\( A \) = Total Area, sf

\[ Q = 0.57 \text{ feet} \]
\[ Area = 202 \text{ acres} \]
\[ Area = 8,799,120 \text{ sf} \]
\[ V = 8,799,120 \text{ sf} \times 0.57 \text{ feet} = 4,972,000 \text{ cf} \]

4) Calculate Volume of Precipitation on SROCA

\[ V = \text{surface area (sf)} \times \text{design storm precipitation (ft)} \]
\[ V = 1,929,319 \text{ sf} \times (8.6/12) \text{ ft} \]
\[ V = 1,383,000 \text{ cf} \]

**Total Run-off Volume (SROCA): 6,354,000 cf**

**NOTE – FSROCA Watershed conditions and runoff remain unchanged from Phase 1 – Progressive Closure Plan (See Phase I Pages 3-4).**