CCR FUGITIVE DUST CONTROL PLAN MARTIN LAKE STEAM ELECTRIC STATION OCTOBER 2015

As required by 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §257.80, this plan identifies and describes the control measures that Martin Lake Steam Electric Station (Martin Lake) will use to minimize fugitive dust emissions from the following Coal Combustion Residual (CCR) units:

Description	CCR Type
West Ash Pond	Surface Impoundment
East Ash Pond	Surface Impoundment
New Scrubber Pond	Surface Impoundment
PDP-5	Surface Impoundment
A-1 Area Landfill	Landfill

	Source located inside an enclosure or partial enclosure
\boxtimes	Water spray or fogging system
	Reduction in fall distance
	Wind barrier
\boxtimes	Compaction
\boxtimes	Vegetative cover
\boxtimes	Reduced vehicle speed limits
	Paving and sweeping roads
	Covering transport trucks
	High wind event operating limitations
	Application of daily cover
	Other

Martin Lake will use combinations of the following dust control measure(s):

Discussion of Dust Control Measure(s) - 40 CFR §63.257.80(b)(1)

Martin Lake has four CCR units on plant site and one unit located in the Beckville mine. The four impoundments primarily contain scrubber gypsum and bottom ash that has settled out from dewatering bin overflow and misc. plant sumps. Material in the impoundments is stored wet and there is virtually no possibility for fugitive dust to be generated. No specific dust controls are necessary for these CCR units.

The A-1 Landfill does have the potential for fugitive dust. To minimize dusting during transport, the fly ash is conditioned with water as the material is loaded into trucks or railcars from the storage silo. The economizer ash is transported by covered trucks to the Economizer Ash Loading Area. At the Economizer Ash Loading Area, dust associated with the holding area is controlled using water sprays, as necessary. This provides the material with enough moisture to prevent wind dispersal during transport and unloading into the landfill. Bottom ash and scrubber sludge have sufficient moisture contents for transportation that additional water or chemical conditioning is not needed. All plant roads are watered as necessary to prevent dusting. Additionally, vehicle speeds are limited to reduced speeds throughout the plant. These practices sufficiently minimize fugitive dust. Any additional watering for the landfill and all other areas will be performed as necessary.

Procedures to Emplace CCR as conditioned CCR - 40 CFR §63.257.80(b)(2)

As discussed above, all fly ash is conditioned as the material is being loaded into the transport vehicles. The economizer ash is conditioned with water sprays at the Economizer Ash Loading Area before being transported to the A-1 Landfill. These measures are sufficient to minimize fugitive dust.

Procedures to Log Citizen Complaints - 40 CFR §63.257.80(b)(3)

See Attachment 1 for procedures.

Procedures to Assess Effectiveness of the Control Plan - 40 CFR §63.257(b)(4)

See Attachment 2 for procedures.

Completion of Initial CCR Fugitive Dust Control Plan – 40 CFR §63.257(b)(5)

The initial CCR fugitive dust control plan was completed on 10/16/2015 and placed in the operating record on 10/16/15. The plan was posted on the Luminant CCR website on 11/19/15.

Amendment of the Plan - 40 CFR §63.257.80(b)(6)

The plan will be amended as necessary to account for conditions that would substantially affect the plan currently in effect. The revised plan will be placed in the facility's operating record.

Professional Engineer Certification - 40 CFR §63.257.80(b)(7)

This initial fugitive dust control plan has been certified by a registered Professional Engineer to meet the requirements of this section. See Attachment 3. Any subsequent amendments of this plan must also be certified by a registered Professional Engineer.

ATTACHMENT 1



Procedure to Log Citizen Complaints

Citizen complaints may be received through a variety of ways. Common methods would be through (1) a complaint submitted to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, (2) a call to the plant main operating phone line, likely received by the operator or front guards, or (3) an in-person complaint, likely received by the guards at the front gate or 4) call to the Community Relations office.

For complaints submitted to the TCEQ, all available information will be entered into the following form.

If a phone call or in-person complaint is made, the complainant should be directed to the onsite environmental supervisor and the supervisor should contact Dallas ES. The following form should be completed for each complaint received.

For each complaint, operational data and other pertinent information should be collected and evaluated. If necessary, corrective actions should be determined and the dust mitigation plan should be revised. All corrective actions, if necessary, should be documented. All other pertinent information should also be documented.

CITIZEN COMPLAINT LOG CCR FUGITIVE DUST CONTROL PLAN MARTIN LAKE STEAM ELECTRIC STATION

Complainant Name*	
Complainant Address*	
Complainant Phone Number or Email Address*	
How Was Complaint Received?	
Date/Time of Event (Start/End)	
Date/Time of Complaint	
General Description of Complaint (i.e., fugitive dust, odor, smoke, etc.)	
Detailed Description of Complaint	
Impact of Event (i.e., health, property, etc.)	
General Location of Where Alleged Issue was Occurring and What was believed to be the source of the problem	
Was any evidence collected to substantiate complaint (i.e., pictures, videos, physical samples, etc.)?	
Name and Contact of Who Received Complaint	
Name and Date/Time of person contacted in ES-Dallas	

^{*}This information is optional; however, we will not be able to contact them for follow-up information or advise them of any conclusions or follow-up actions.

ATTACHMENT 2



Procedure to Assess Effectiveness of the Control Plan

In association with the preparation of the annual CCR fugitive dust control report required under 40 CFR §63.80(c), review this entire document and determine if the plan is still effective at minimizing fugitive CCR dust. Additionally, this review can be completed and the control plan amended at any time.

The following specific items should be evaluated:

- Any complaints received in the past year,
- Any operational issues raised, and
- Any alternate control strategies suggested by operational personnel.

ATTACHMENT 3

The preceding Fugitive Dust Control Plan for Martin Lake Steam Electric Station was prepared under my direction:

10-5-15

Jacob D. Gonzales, P.E. Luminant Power